

HACKATHON

FOR A
BETTER
WORLD

2026

FUTURE-PROOFING THE RULE OF LAW

Responsible AI in Law

How can Singapore law firms harness AI to enhance productivity, while safeguarding professional standards and client's trust?

Co-organised by:






AI IN THE LEGAL INDUSTRY


How can Singapore law firms harness AI to enhance productivity, while safeguarding professional judgement and client's trust?

Current challenges with existing legal tech solutions



Knowledge Gap

Difficulty in navigating the system and/or obtaining maximum benefit from AI



Accuracy Gap


The problem of inaccurate outputs generated by AI (e.g. fictitious authorities, hallucinations, etc.)

Bridging the Gap

Access our prompt builder Angus: <https://shorturl.at/DyGc2>

With a focus on bridging the knowledge & accuracy gap, our solution aims to mitigate this **at its root** of AI usage - the prompt

We recognise that many in the legal industry struggle with coming up with **effective prompts** to generate AI outputs which meet their needs.



**Prompt building -
A point of
connection**

Our solution functions as the **bridge connecting the human and the AI**. We capture the needs of the human in bitesize terms and feed it to the AI in the language they understand.

Features of Angus

Targeted

We ask the right questions to help you craft an effective prompt, with a Singapore focus too.

Tailored

There is no one-size-fits all solution. Our prompt helps you customise the AI output to suit your needs.

User-friendly

Our solution is **accessible and simple** to use for all, regardless of level of AI literacy.

VERIFY BEFORE YOU SUBMIT.

AI drafts fake citations. Lawyers are liable.

1,300+

FABRICATED AI CITATIONS
WORLDWIDE

1 ALREADY REACHED A
SINGAPORE COURT



THE REALITY



MONDAY 9AM FILING

THE LAWYER'S DILEMMA



THE LAWYER IS RESPONSIBLE.
The verification problem is getting worse.

OUR SOLUTION




LEGAL REASONING ENGINE

- Distinguished
- Partially Overruled
- Doubted
- Reframed
- Statutory Abrogation
- Jurisdictional Drift

LAWNET FINDS. WE VERIFY.

THE IMPACT



BUILT WITH 4D THINKING



First Matters Chatbot

A pre-consultation intake tool that produces two concise, shared dossiers, one for client and one for lawyer, to prepare them for the first meeting, so it can focus on where it ought to: the legal issues that matter.

Challenge Statement: Lawyers feel that timely advice is the primary growth driver for firms*. Commercial clients in Singapore report lower satisfaction than peers in the US, UK and Germany.* Underscoring the gap present in service. Sentiments of uncertainty and anxiety are abound when it comes to the First Meeting.

Our Solution: A chat-based intake tool that asks the client a standard set of questions tailored to each matter area before the consultation.

- Simple and tailored
- Fuss-free (<15minutes)
- Document Upload and Extraction Available


The product: two summary files – **for the client:** key legal definitions, potential issues and implications, document preparation guide.

for the lawyer: client's answers, likely issues and implications.

✓ **Client** arrives organised, with a shape to their matter, and assured about the lawyer's preparedness

✓ **Lawyer** gets a pre-read, which facts deserve probing, and documents which are crucial to collect, and crucial clauses to look into. It allows the lawyers to be more organised, and guide the focus of the first meeting into priority matters.

Key Stakeholders: Clients; facing emergency filings; Lawyers handling complex matters, Medium–Large Law Firms, Paralegals (guide clients through form-filing process).



RESPONSIBLE USE OF AI IN SINGAPORE LAW FIRMS

TEAM CRANK – 4-LAYER AI GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK

A practical implementation layer for Small and Mid-Sized firms

CHALLENGE STATEMENT

How can Singapore law firms harness AI to enhance productivity while safeguarding professional standards and client trust?

DISCOVER - PROBLEMS FACED

- ⚠️ No practical firm-level AI implementation layer for small and mid-sized firms
- ⚠️ Hallucination and confidentiality fears block adoption
- ⚠️ Hourly billing can discourage or obscure AI-driven efficiency gains
- ⚠️ Junior lawyers' deskilling and mentorship erosion
- ⚠️ High-level principles exist (MinLaw Legal Sector Guide); day-to-day implementation often does not

DEFINE - PERSONAS

Junior Lawyers



Will AI hollow out my learning and supervision?

Partners



Can we keep profits and talent while managing risk?

In-house Counsel



Can AI be trusted with our data?

Clients



Am I being charged fairly for AI-assisted work?

IT/KM/Innovation



What tools are approved, and for what data?


DEFINE - THEORY OF CHANGE

If firms can: (1) **reduce risks**, (2) **build trust**, (3) **align incentives**, and (4) **equip lawyers with AI and ethics literacy**, then AI adoption enhances productivity without eroding professional standards or client trust.

DEFINE - VALUE PROPOSITION & IMPACT

- Builds on – does not duplicate – existing guides (MinLaw GenAI Guide, SingCERT, CPD scheme)
- Recommendatory basis: respects autonomy, adds **no** new mandatory burden
- Protects junior lawyers' **development and psychological safety** as explicit design objectives, supporting *more sustainable careers*
- Aligns economic incentives: rewards AI efficiency while keeping clients' interests at heart
- Builds client trust: AI-use disclosure and fee-transparency documentation

DISCOVER - SURVEY INSIGHTS



DEVELOP - THOUGHT PROCESS

- Inaccuracy & data-leakage fears → Stronger human review process → **Governance**
- Fee fairness & AI disclosure → **Pricing and client logic**
- Reduced junior development (deskilling, lost mentorship) → **AI Capability Pathway**
- Lessons live in people, not systems → **Prompt Experiment Log & Review**

Not more abstract principles – a **practical layer that is governable, reviewable, and workable for small and mid-sized firms.**

DELIVER - 4-LAYER IMPLEMENTATION

Governance

Firm-based AI Governance Framework

- Tiered by firm size
- AI incident-response protocol building on SingCERT's incident-response checklist
- Approval & escalation documentation

1

Economics

Fixed-Fee & Hybrid Pricing Framework

- Complexity-triggered fees (no automatic discounting)
- Standardised AI-use and fee disclosure to clients
- Pricing that shares AI efficiency gains fairly with clients

2

Training

AI Capability Pathway

- Role-based, three-level pathway (Safe Use, Responsible Practice, Oversight) tailored to firm size
- CPD-integrated where appropriate
- Protects** junior Lawyer Development

3

Culture

Prompt Experiment Log & Learning Review

- Monthly log of AI-assisted tasks
- Builds firm knowledge base
- Ties all four pillars together
- Quarterly learning reviews to keep AI practice current

4

FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTATION

- Builds on existing guidance – no new law, institutions, or budgets. Extends the MinLaw Guide and existing CPD, not a new regime.
- Modular – adopt one layer at a time: start with governance, then economics, training, and culture
- Size-sensitive – scales from a named AI Lead → working group → full committee.

IMPACT

- Small & mid-sized firms – practical governance, capability, and learning without a large team.
- Junior lawyers – sustainable careers: verification, supervision, and protected manual work (83% feared deskilling) in negotiation, drafting, and court practice.
- Managing partners – balance innovation with responsibility, client trust, and culture.
- Clients and the wider system – clear review processes and improved AI efficiency in the legal sector.

Nobody goes to law school to fill out forms

Why our solution

- Lower AI token cost
- Less hallucination
- No prompting



We solved family law’s heavist FAA bottleneck


✓

Filing accepted by eLitigation

Acknowledgement received. A signed receipt has been saved to your matter.

Reference	FC/05F 88/2026
Filing ID	EL-2026-0507-094812
Submitted	7 May 2026, 14:58 SGT
Filing party	Form Filler (automated)
Documents	3 attached · 4.2 MB

61 PAGES.
1,414 FIELDS.



Hand-typed past midnight. One double-counted asset = Ruined Asset Division

1 in 3 new lawyers **want out** -CNA

Much of that burnout is mechanical. The First Ancillary Affidavit has 1,414 fillable fields , typed by hand from source documents. One mistyped NRIC means a defective filing.



The new way of filling forms

Form 015 - First Ancillary Affidavit



Reviewable



Find errors quickly

● Name discrepancy across documents

The marriage certificate records the second applicant as "JANE TAN MEI LING", but the home study report and client instructions both refer to her as "JANE TAN".

Confirm legal name with the client. The Originating Summons should use the name on her NRIC for service and order purposes.

Secure




Underlying technology is compliant

 AWS EC2

SOC II

ISO 27001

Tested



Pilot deployed to one law firm

“We like that the data pulled out matches the date(s) we fill in, and any inconsistencies are flagged out” ~ Pilot firm

Challenge Statement

1 in 3


young lawyers expect to leave the profession within 3 years.

Only 1 in 10 have ever had structured mentorship.

A top reason they gave: lack of guidance and mentorship.

With AI, The Feedback Loop is Thinning

Cleaner AI drafts mean fewer markups. Fewer markups mean less implicit teaching. The gap was always there — AI made it **worse**.



Source: Chief Justice Sundaresh Menon, Mass Call 2026

Key Stakeholders

The Junior

Wants to learn why their draft was changed.

Incentive: Structured feedback from real work, personal learning record, visibility into growth.

"When my senior changes my draft, I want to understand why — that's how I build the judgment that makes me worth keeping."

Law Firms

Need scalable training without adding to senior workload.

Incentive: Aggregate learning gap data, improved retention.

The Senior

Teaching is implicit through markups.

Incentive: Zero extra effort — priority is quality control for clients, not teaching.

"I want this to a standard I'd put my name on — fast. I'm not writing out fifteen years of reasoning in a comment box."

Clients

Benefit from better-trained lawyers delivering higher-quality work.

Incentive: Trust preserved while lawyer competence grows.

Our Design Thinking Process

We didn't design a product. We followed what 15 lawyers told us — and reframed thrice..

Discover

Interviewed 15 lawyers: partners, seniors, Learning & Development leads, juniors.

Key insight: Partners use an informal 'hunger test' — best juniors ask 'why did you change that?'

Define

Juniors aren't learning because teaching is implicit, unbillable, and unstructured.

AI is making it worse: cleaner drafts = fewer markups = thinner feedback.

HMW capture the implicit teaching already embedded in senior-junior document markups and transform it into structured, scalable learning?

Develop

Tested and rejected multiple approaches.

Ideas We Rejected

x Comment box prompting seniors to explain each change — Too much friction, low adoption

x AI explaining changes directly to juniors — Juniors didn't trust it without senior sign-off

x Per-junior dashboards as default view — Felt like surveillance

Reframe: Infer learning from markup, not from explanations.

Deliver

Built a working prototype.

Principles




✓ **Passive and Low Friction** — No change to how seniors work

✓ **Firm governed** — Never affects the final output to client

✓ **Plug & Play** — Integration with existing tools and DMS systems - no need for manual upload*

*Manual upload required for prototype

Our Solution



Impact

Structured mentorship that costs a senior **30 seconds**, resulting in a projection of:

~500
learning points per junior per year

The L&D structure of BigLaw - now available to everyone else

- Small firms & solo practitioners:** Access to training previously exclusive to large firms
- ASEAN capacity-building:** Exportable model for developing legal markets from live matters, not just textbooks



LawLock

Real-Time AI Citation Verification for Singapore Law

Hybrid engine — Feedback-driven — SG-native — Pilot-ready

NEXT GEN LEGAL

Chew Ye | Jason | Risson | Rongkai

Hackathon for a Better World

Co-organised by DBS

CHALLENGE STATEMENT

The Hidden Risk in AI-Assisted Legal Work

- **AI can draft. But can you trust what it cites?**
Hallucinated section numbers, non-existent provisions, and references pulled from the wrong Act are not edge cases — they are known risks in AI-generated legal work.
- **Yet lawyers are still checking every citation manually.**
For citation-heavy briefs, that means hours spent cross checking against legal sources.
- **The cost of a missed citation goes beyond time.**
Professional scrutiny. Erodes client confidence. Reputational on the line.
- **Drafting was never the bottleneck. Trust is.**

17-33%

Hallucination rate

In leading AI legal research tools
(Stanford / HAI, 2024)

SGD 5K

Each in personal costs

ordered by a SG court after fictitious AI-generated
authorities were cited in closing submissions

6,500+

Singapore lawyers

Could benefit from stronger AI
citation safeguards (Law Society)

KEY STAKEHOLDERS & DESIRABILITY

One Legal Ecosystem — One Shared Problem

PERSONA 1



Sarah Chen

Litigation Associate

Singapore SME Law Firm

PAIN POINT:

Manually checks every AI citation on LawNet — up to 3-4 hrs for citation-heavy briefs. One serious hallucination could trigger client complaints and professional scrutiny.

Loss Aversion: risk of sanction outweighs efficiency gain

PERSONA 2



James Thornton

Managing Partner

15-Lawyer Commercial Firm

PAIN POINT:

One AI hallucination in a filed brief = loss of major client mandates + PI insurance claim. Reverted to manual review — all expected productivity gain lost.

Status Quo Bias: abandoned AI after one high-profile incident

PERSONA 3



Kavya Pillai

In-House Legal Counsel

Singapore Tech Startup

PAIN POINT:

Relies on AI for speed but has no LawNet subscription to verify citations. A wrong statutory reference in a board-level opinion could directly expose the company to liability.

Cognitive Overload: cannot verify and cannot afford not to verify

HOW OUR SOLUTION WORKS

LawLock - Hybrid Citation Verification Engine

1 Ingest Draft

Drop in any AI-drafted legal document into the LawLock dashboard. Works with output from any LLM, no reformatting needed.

2 NLP Extraction

LangChain + Regex pipeline automatically identified and isolates every statutory reference — regardless of format or citation style.

3 Hybrid Match

Layer 1: Deterministic statute section lookup.
Layer 2: Semantic matching via ChromaDB.
Uncertain matches surface as flags — nothing slips through quietly.

4 Flag + Learn

Errors highlighted with suggested corrections for lawyer review. Every correction approved feeds back into the system — making LawLock sharper with every use.

CORE USP - FEEDBACK-DRIVEN REFINEMENT LOOP

LawLock learns from every correction — but only after a lawyer signs off. Smarter over time, without retraining an LLM or adding compute costs. Just a system that improves with every case.

FLAGGED

s.48, PDPA 2012

Heading: "Defence for employee" — Suggest: s.24

NOT FOUND

s.17(2)(b), Evidence Act 1893

Subsection (b) absent — See ss.63-68A

VERIFIED

s.17(2), Evidence Act 1893

"A confession is an admission..." confirmed

VIABILITY & FEASIBILITY

Market Opportunity + Technical Readiness

MARKET OPPORTUNITY

~SGD 2B+

Singapore Legal Services Sector

SME law firms and in-house counsel underserved by enterprise-level tools and pricing.

PROPOSED PILOT PRICING

SGD 1,000 - 3,000 | Per Firm | Per Month

To be validated through a 30-day live pilot.

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

MVP built in 1 month. No custom LLM training.

TECH STACK

Python Flask API | LangChain NLP
ChromaDB Vector DB | SG Statutes Online (OGL)
AWS EC2 t3.medium host (~SGD 80/mo)

SCALE ROADMAP

P1: Pilot-ready MVP, 2.4 s/doc audit
P2: Docker + AWS ECS auto-scaling
P3: REST API + MY/HK statute expansion

Works with any LLM output. Model-agnostic by design.

~2.4 s

per audit

End-to-end demo speed

1 Month

to working MVP

No custom model training required

3 Acts

Singapore statutes

Evidence Act | CMA 1993 | PDPA 2012

UN SDG 16

Justice, Accessible to Everyone

Trusted AI in SG legal services

Raising The Bar with Artificial Intelligence

Incorporating a Mandatory Artificial Intelligence Module into the Part B Course & CPD Requirements

OptiNUS Prime

1 WHY PART B & CPD?



Part B is the legal profession’s gateway into practice, and CPD Requirements ensure lawyers maintain and improve their skills and knowledge.




Embedding AI literacy here creates a common baseline for lawyers entering different-sized firms, which avoids leaving AI training and ethical use to firm management or personal interest alone.

2 PROBLEM




Challenges to AI adoption include: (1) Cost; (2) Insufficient capacity from law practice management to research and implement solutions; (3) Ethical concerns; (4) Data privacy & cybersecurity issues; (5) Insufficient AI training.



Existing AI adoption initiatives like the PSG-Legal & LIFT programmes mainly address concerns relating to cost, management, ethical, privacy, and cybersecurity issues. More attention must be directed at overcoming insufficient AI training.

3 OUR SOLUTION: AI LITERACY PATHWAY

Level 1: Foundational AI Literacy through Part B



Level 2: Practice-Based AI Literacy through CPD



Future Developments to AI-related CPD Courses


COMPETENCY-BASED MODULES BUILT FROM THE MINLAW GUIDE’S PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS:

1. Understanding AI in Legal Workflows
2. Confidentiality & Responsible Input
3. Human Oversight & Verification
4. Professional Judgment, Escalation, & Limits of AI Use
5. Bias, Fairness, and Client Sensitivity



ASSESSMENT PRINCIPLE

Candidates must demonstrate that they can make safe AI-use decisions in a realistic legal task — not merely describe AI risks in theory.



Part B already has an existing skills-based structure. AI literacy can be assessed through the same professional-skills lens.




OUTCOME:

Balances uneven AI preparedness across profession by ensuring future lawyers have a common baseline.

BEYOND PART B:

A mandatory portion of existing CPD requirements should be ring-fenced for AI-related training, ensuring that legal practitioners continue to maintain and update their AI literacy.

SCAN TO VIEW FULL IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE



TEAM

TSMP Disputes
Joshua Phang, Daniel Ling, Faiq Sham
TSMP Law Corporation

CHALLENGE STATEMENT

How can Singapore law firms harness AI to enhance productivity, while safeguarding professional standards and client's trust?



OUR INSIGHTS

Challenge	Need	Intended Effect
 Lawyers often lack a “sparring opponent” to challenge ideas and arguments	 Junior lawyers need accessible feedback, support and mentorship without fear of judgement	 Better mentorship, greater efficiency, and increased confidence with AI

AI TWINNING



DIGITAL TWINS FOR SMARTER LEGAL PRACTICE

OUR SOLUTION

Tailored Advocacy & Submissions	Internal Mentorship & Training	Trial Preparation & Witness Testing
Create AI twins of judges from published judgements. Test-run arguments, identify blind spots, anticipate questions, and tailor submissions to the judge’s known concerns.	Create AI twins of senior lawyers within the firm. Juniors get preliminary feedback on drafts in a safe, judgement-free environment	Build AI twins of potential witnesses from available information. Advocates can rehearse cross-examination strategies and refine their approach before trial.

HOW IT WORKS

Data Collection	Model Training	Interaction	Output
 written corpus; judgements, articles, transcripts, internal materials	 fine-tuned LLM creates a twin mimicking writing style, reasoning patterns and known positions	 lawyers interact via chat interface, e.g. “How would Judge X respond to this argument?”	 tailored feedback, anticipated questions, identified blind spots, style-adjusted submissions

WHY WE’RE PROPOSING THIS

Incentivises Adoption	Reduces Fear of AI	Preserves Responsibility
Tangible, practice-specific value; better preparation and advocacy, not generic tools	AI as a collaborative preparation tool that enhances, not replaces, human judgement	The twin is a preparation aid, not a decision-maker; accountability remains with the lawyer

Harnessing AI in Singapore Law Firms

The competitive edge to elevate your practice

The Problem

Every time your lawyers use AI, confidential client data is at risk. One breach doesn't just cost money — it costs trust, reputation, and your licence to practise.

The Workaround

Dedicated AI environments. Audit trails. Strict access controls. The industry's answer to a problem it hasn't truly solved.


Why It Falls Short

These safeguards are expensive, complex, and out of reach for most firms. Compliance becomes a burden — not a solution.

The Solution

A purpose-built, offline programme that silently redacts sensitive data before it ever reaches an AI model — then restores full context in the response. Zero exposure. Zero compromise. Zero workflow disruption.

How It Works



Powerful AI. Total confidentiality. No trade-offs.



Completely Offline
No cloud. No plugins. No exposure.



Flexible
Plug into any AI model, instantly.





CLAWSET

Team: WongP

Challenge statement: How can Singapore law firms harness AI to enhance productivity, while safeguarding professional judgement and client trust?

PERSONA

Meet Andrea,




a junior lawyer


In a typical day, Andrea can spend **> 30%** of her time on information coralling



The problem: Tedious information coralling takes time away from substantive legal work. adds on to non-billable hours, and increases stress and fatigue




JOBS TO BE DONE



- Andrea wants to feel **safe** when using a tech solution
- Andrea **does not want to be embarrassed or sanctioned** for submitting a work product with AI hallucinations
- Andrea needs a solution that she can use at work and which **she can convince her supervisors is safe to allow her to use**




Introducing... **CLAWSET**



THE SOLUTION

A **smart matter tracker** that...

...with a **simple and easy to use** interface...



..helps lawyers **track, retrieve, and corral information** about their matters...

... and in a **low risk and safe manner** by being 'read-only', and for internal use only

WHY IT WORKS

CLAWSET is ...



EFFICIENT

Allows for efficient retrieval and corral information, thereby reducing the workload of junior lawyer.




SAFE

No actual legal work (e.g. analysis or research) done by Clawset; read-only access; output intended for internal use only



EASY TO USE

Simple and easy to use at all times, even for stressed and overworked users.




TRUSTWORTHY

Output is factual, with pinpoint citations for ease of verification



Andrea now can spend **less** time on information coralling and have a **sustainable and meaningful** workday whilst preserving the **trust** of her clients and supervisors



Challenge Statement

Enable AI to proactively work for Singapore lawyers behind the scenes - surfacing opportunities, updates, and actions inside the tools they already use - while ensuring that no identifiable client data ever leaves the firm's environment?

1. Missed Business Opportunities

Identifying business opportunities is manual and tedious; useful information is readily available online but often missed.

2. Regulatory & Legal Blind Spots

Keeping up with legislative changes is time-consuming; firms risk advising on outdated law.

3. Buried Action Items

Lawyers receive hundreds of emails a day; high-priority messages get buried and important action items risk being missed.

4. The Confidence Gap

Lawyers avoid AI for highest-value tasks due to hallucination and confidentiality concerns.

Key Stakeholders



Partner

Manages multiple key client relationships. Misses out on opportunities because deal tracking is manual.



Senior Associate

Frequently reviews hundreds of pages for due diligence. Concerned about uploading sensitive information to AI platforms.

"If we have to redact before uploading, there is no time savings."



Associate

Juggles multiple matters requiring hours of planning. The constant demand for attention causes her to risk overlooking client or internal instructions.

AI Intervention To Be Done

Functional: Need to work proactively, acquiring insights and suggestions without manual effort.

Emotional: Feel confident that client data is protected when using AI tools.

Social: Be seen as proactive, well-informed, and organised by clients and fellow lawyers.

Viability & Feasibility



Technically Feasible

Integration with commonly used applications. Public data sources.



Economically Viable

Low development cost (built on open-source stack with locally-hosted LLM).



Sustainable

New Radar scans can be added without interfering with existing components.



Scalable Beyond Law

Radar's concept can serve any knowledge-intensive industry.



Scalable Beyond Singapore

Jurisdiction-agnostic by swapping in local opportunity and knowledge sources.

Impact Metrics

Saves 1 – 2 hours / day — Business Development

Saves 2 – 4 hours / week — Knowledge Update

Saves 30 – 45 minutes / day — Task / Email Management

Saves 15 minutes / document — Compliance with Data Security

Social Impact

Access to Justice: Smaller firms gain same AI-powered productivity as large firms

Client Protection: Systematic safeguard against inadvertent data exposure

Professional Standards: Operationalises responsible AI governance for Singapore lawyers

How it Works

One Platform. Four Scans.



Opportunity Radar

Horizon scanning across curated news, deal, and incident sources (e.g. CNA, FT, SGX). Periodic digest with relevance rationale and opportunity for instant pitch readiness.



Action Radar

Reads and classifies incoming emails against action taxonomy (Client Instruction, Internal Instruction, Deadline, FYI). Colour-coded task cards with one-click action.



Knowledge Radar

Monitors legislation, case law, regulatory guidance and government gazette (e.g. MAS, e-Litigation). Option to automatically draft follow-up deliverables for relevant updates.



Trust Shield

Automatically screens documents for PII and sensitive content. 100% local processing — no content transmitted to an external server.

A Day in the Life — A Partner's Morning with Radar

8:00 AM

Opportunity Radar

Target client announced acquisition
→ one-click to draft outreach email

8:15 AM

Knowledge Radar

New MAS Circular that is relevant to 3 active matters
→ one-click to delegate to associates

8:30 AM

Action Radar

Colour-coded task cards
→ one-click to delegate tasks to associates

